



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,268	11/13/2001	James M. Kates	22645-7085	2619
7590 09/26/2005			EXAMINER	
Michael J. Bolan			NI, SUHAN	
Bingham McC	utchen LLP			
Three Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1800			2646	
San Francisco, CA 94111			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Analization No.	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.				
	10/008,268	KATES, JAMES M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Suhan Ni	2646			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<i>ıly</i> 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 30-44 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 30-40 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 41-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	kaminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form P1O-152.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2646

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2646.

- 2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission for RCE filed on 07/14/2005 has been entered.
- 3. This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on 07/14/2005.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Art Unit: 2646

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130 (b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-3 and 30-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 55 and 60-110 of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/087,090, now a U. S. Pat. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 55 and 60-110 of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/087,090 are similar in scope to claim 1-3 and 30-40 of this with obvious wording variations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) The invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schaub et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,370,255).

Regarding claim 1, Schaub et al. disclose a hearing aid, comprising: an input signal channel having a microphone (1) and providing digital input signals (2), a signal path adapted to

Art Unit: 2646

process said digital input signals in accordance with a hearing impairment correction signal processing algorithm (11-13) to produce a digital output signal (8), wherein said signal path further comprises at least one signal processing function (12) operating on a warped frequency scale; and an output conversion means adapted to convert said output signals to an audio output (10) as claimed.

Regarding claim 2, Schaub et al. further disclose the hearing aid, wherein said at least one signal processing function further comprises a plurality of cascaded all-pass filters (11).

Regarding claim 3, Schaub et al. further disclose the hearing aid, wherein said warped frequency scale approximates a Bark scale (col. 5, lines 24-28) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaub et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,370,255).

Regarding claims 41-44, Schaub et al. do not specially teach the type of hearing device as claimed. Since to customize or minimize a hearing device to fit or satisfy different users is well known in the art, it therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to be motivated to configure the hearing device to a suitable

hearing aid, such as BTE type as an alternate choice, in order to optimally provide the hearing device for different users.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 30-40 are allowed.

Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Suhan Ni** whose telephone number is (571)-272-7505, and the number for fax machine is (571)-273-7505. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 10:00 am to 8:00 pm. If it is necessary, the examiner's supervisor, **Sinh** N. **Tran**, can be reached at (571)-272-7564.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2646

11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-2600, or please see http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2600.

September 25, 2005

SUHAN NI PRIMARY EXAMINER